Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/194,560 Appr.ant(s)

Examiner

Enad, Elvin

Leijon et al. Group Art Unit

2834



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 28, 2000	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☑ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	isapproveddisapproved.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
\sqcup The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	
	he priority documents have been
X received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
received in this national stage application from the In	
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	
	under 35 U.S.C. 3 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Biology Company (2) PTO 4440 PR	
 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 	3)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	



Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

- 1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-
- (d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. PCT/SE97/00895, filed on May 27, 1997.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person